

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/500,849	02/10/2000	Robert L. Hudkins	CEPH-0939	3140
75	90 04/22/2003			
Michael P Straher Woodcock Washburn Kurtz Mackiewicz and Norris LLP			EXAMINER	
46th Floor			WRIGHT, SONYA N	
One Liberty Pla				
Philadelphia, PA	A 19103		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1626	
			DATE MAILED: 04/22/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	09/500,849	HUDKINS ET AL.					
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Sonya Wright	1626					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
THE REPLY FILED 24 March 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.							
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]							
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.							
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:							
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);							
(c) ☑ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or							
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.NOTE: .							
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):							
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).							
5.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.							
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaused by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly					
7. ☑ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☑ will not be entered or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.							
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to: <u>1-41,43-58,60,61,63-65,73,74 and 95</u> .							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>73</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>59, 72, and 75-94</u> .							
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)							
10. Other:							

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicants' amendment overcomes the rejection o claim 73 under 35 U.S.C. 112, however the amendment does not overcome the objection to the claims for containing non-elected subject matter. The genus that has been examined is the generic concept set forth by the Examiner in Paper Number 8, mailed May 6, 2002, including the modification that: ring "J" is a three to seven membered ring containing oxygen as the only heteroatom, and the "J" ring may have substitutents in accordance with the claims. Applicant remarks that in a telephonic interview on September 5, 2002, between Applicants' attorney and Dr. Eric Voelk, and Examiners Wright and Chang, Applicant's attorney and Dr. Eric Voelk were informed that, in the event that the present art-based rejections were overcome, the search would be expanded to include certain other ring "J" embodiments, such as to be agreed upon at a later date. However, upon further consideration, due to the vastness of the claimed subject matter, the search will not be expanded. As presented, the claims embrace subject matter which is variously classified, and not to restrict the claims would be an undue search burden. It is suggested that Applicant limit the claims to the generic concept (supra) in order to overcome this objection.

ALAN L. ROTMAN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600